## CADMIUM AND CADMIUM COMPOUNDS

Cadmium and cadmium compounds were considered by previous IARC Working Groups in 1972, 1975, 1987, and 1993 (IARC, 1973, 1976, 1987, 1993a). Since that time, new data have become available, these have been incorporated in the *Monograph*, and taken into consideration in the present evaluation.

## 1. Exposure Data

## 1.1 Identification of the agents

Synonyms, trade names and molecular formulae for cadmium, cadmium–copper alloy, and some cadmium compounds are presented in Table 1.1. The cadmium compounds shown are those for which data on carcinogenicity or mutagenicity were available or which are commercially important compounds. It is not an exhaustive list, and does not necessarily include all of the most commercially important cadmium-containing substances.

## 1.2 Chemical and physical properties of the agents

Cadmium (atomic number, 48; relative atomic mass, 112.41) is a metal, which belongs to group IIB of the periodic table. The oxidation state of almost all cadmium compounds is +2, although a few compounds have been reported in which it is +1. Selected chemical and physical properties of cadmium compounds are presented in the previous *IARC Monograph* (IARC, 1993a).

## 1.3 Use of the agents

Cadmium metal has specific properties that make it suitable for a wide variety of industrial applications. These include: excellent corrosion resistance, low melting temperature, high ductility, high thermal and electrical conductivity (National Resources Canada, 2007). It is used and traded globally as a metal and as a component in six classes of products, where it imparts distinct performance advantages. According to the US Geological Survey, the principal uses of cadmium in 2007 were: nickelcadmium (Ni–Cd) batteries, 83%; pigments, 8%; coatings and plating, 7%; stabilizers for plastics, 1.2%; and other (includes non-ferrous alloys, semiconductors and photovoltaic devices), 0.8% (USGS, 2008).

Cadmium is also present as an impurity in non-ferrous metals (zinc, lead, and copper), iron and steel, fossil fuels (coal, oil, gas, peat, and wood), cement, and phosphate fertilizers. In these products, the presence of cadmium generally does not affect performance; rather, it is regarded as an environmental concern (International Cadmium Association, 2011). Cadmium is also produced from recycled materials (such as Ni–Cd batteries and manufacturing scrap) and some

Table 1.1 Chemical names, synonyms (CAS names are in italics), and molecular formulae of cadmium and cadmium compounds

Chemical name	CAS Reg. No. <sup>a</sup>	Synonyms	Formula
Cadmium	7440-43-9	Cadmium metal	Cd
Cadmium acetate	543-90-8 (24 558-49-4; 29 398-76-3)	Acetic acid, cadmium salt; bis(acetoxy)-cadmium; cadmium (II) acetate; cadmium diacetate; cadmium ethanoate	Cd(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub>
Cadmium carbonate	513-78-0 [93820-02-1]	Carbonic acid, cadmium salt; cadmium carbonate (CdCo <sub>3</sub> ); cadmium monocarbonate	CdCO <sub>3</sub>
Cadmium chloride	10 108-64-2	Cadmium dichloride; dichlorocadmium	CdCl <sub>2</sub>
Cadmium hydroxide	21 041-95-2 (1 306-13-4; 13 589-17-8)	Cadmium hydroxide (Cd(OH) <sub>2</sub> ); cadmium dihydroxide	Cd(OH) <sub>2</sub>
Cadmium nitrate	10 325-94-7 (14 177-24-3)	Nitric acid, cadmium salt; cadmium dinitrate; cadmium (II) nitrate	Cd(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>
Cadmium stearate	2223-93-0	Cadmium distearate; cadmium octadecanoate; cadmium(II) stearate; octadecanoic acid, cadmium salt; <i>stearic acid</i> , <i>cadmium salt</i>	$Cd(C_{36}H_{72}O_4)$
Cadmium sulfate	10 124-36-4 (62 642-07-3) [31119-53-6]	Cadmium monosulfate; cadmium sulfate; sulfuric acid, cadmium salt (1:1)	CdSO <sub>4</sub>
Cadmium sulfide	1306-23-6 (106 496-20-2)	Cadmium monosulfide; cadmium orange; cadmium yellow	CdS
Cadmium oxide	1306-19-0	Cadmium monoxide	CdO
Cadmium-copper alloy <sup>b</sup>	37 364-06-0	Copper base, Cu, Cd	Cd.Cu
	12 685-29-9 (52 863-93-1)	Cadmium nonbase, Cd, Cu	
	132 295-56-8	Copper alloy, base, Cu 99.75–100, Cd 0.05–0.15; UNS C14300	
	132 295-57-9	Copper alloy, base, Cu 99.60–100, Cd 0.1–0.3; UNS C14310	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Replaced CAS Registry numbers are shown in parentheses; alternative CAS Registry numbers are shown in brackets.

residues (e.g. cadmium-containing dust from electric arc furnaces) or intermediate products. Recycling accounts for approximately 10–15% of the production of cadmium in developed countries (National Resources Canada, 2007).

The primary use of cadmium, in the form of cadmium hydroxide, is in electrodes for Ni–Cd batteries. Because of their performance characteristics (e.g. high cycle lives, excellent low- and high-temperature performance), Ni–Cd batteries are used extensively in the railroad and aircraft industry (for starting and emergency power), and in consumer products (e.g. cordless power

tools, cellular telephones, camcorders, portable computers, portable household appliances and toys) (ATSDR, 2008; USGS, 2008).

Cadmium sulfide compounds (e.g. cadmium sulfide, cadmium sulfoselenide, and cadmium lithopone) are used as pigments in a wide variety of applications, including engineering plastics, glass, glazes, ceramics, rubber, enamels, artists colours, and fireworks. Ranging in colour from yellow to deep-red maroon, cadmium pigments have good covering power, and are highly resistant to a wide range of atmospheric and environmental conditions (e.g. the presence of hydrogen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Sample of cadmium-copper alloys registered with the Chemical Abstracts Service

sulfide or sulfur dioxide, light, high temperature and pressure) (<u>Herron, 2001</u>; <u>ATSDR, 2008</u>; <u>International Cadmium Association, 2011</u>).

Cadmium and cadmium alloys are used as engineered or electroplated coatings on iron, steel, aluminium, and other non-ferrous metals. They are particularly suitable for industrial applications requiring a high degree of safety or durability (e.g. aerospace industry, industrial fasteners, electrical parts, automotive systems, military equipment, and marine/offshore installations) because they demonstrate good corrosion resistance in alkaline or salt solutions, have a low coefficient of friction and good conductive properties, and are readily solderable (UNEP, 2008; International Cadmium Association, 2011).

Cadmium salts of organic acids (generally cadmium laurate or cadmium stearate, used in combination with barium sulfate) were widely used in the past as heat and light stabilizers for flexible polyvinyl chloride and other plastics (Herron, 2001; UNEP; 2008). Small quantities of cadmium are used in various alloys to improve their thermal and electrical conductivity, to increase the mechanical properties of the base alloy (e.g. strength, drawability, extrudability, hardness, wear resistance, tensile, and fatigue strength), or to lower the melting point. The metals most commonly alloyed with cadmium include copper, zinc, lead, tin, silver and other precious metals. Other minor uses of cadmium include cadmium telluride and cadmium sulfide in solar cells, and other semiconducting cadmium compounds in a variety of electronic applications (Morrow, 2001; UNEP, 2008; International Cadmium Association, 2011).

Traditionally, the most common end-use applications for cadmium were pigments, stabilizers, and coatings. However, in recent years, the use of cadmium for these purposes has declined, mainly due to concerns over the toxicity of cadmium, and the introduction of regulations, particularly in the European Union, restricting its use (National Resources Canada, 2007).

#### 1.4 Environmental occurrence

Historical information on the occurrence of cadmium and cadmium compounds can be found in the previous *IARC Monograph* (<u>IARC</u>, 1993a).

Cadmium occurs naturally in the earth's crust and in ocean water. It is emitted to the environment as a result of both natural and anthropogenic activities. Natural sources of cadmium include volcanic activity, weathering of cadmium-containing rocks, sea spray, and mobilization of cadmium previously deposited in soils, sediments, landfills, etc. Anthropogenic sources of cadmium include the mining and smelting of zinc-bearing ores, the combustion of fossil fuels, waste incineration, and releases from tailings piles or municipal landfills (UNEP, 2008; ATSDR, 2008).

#### 1.4.1 Natural occurrence

In the earth's crust, cadmium appears mainly in association with ores containing zinc, lead, and copper (in the form of complex oxides, sulfides, and carbonates). Elemental cadmium is a soft, silver-white metal, which is recovered as a by-product of zinc mining and refining. The average terrestrial abundance of cadmium is 0.1–0.2 mg/kg, although higher concentrations are found in zinc, lead, and copper ore deposits. Naturally occurring cadmium levels in ocean water range, on average, from < 5 to 110 ng/L. (National Resources Canada, 2007; ATSDR, 2008; UNEP, 2008)

#### 1.4.2 Air

Particulate cadmium (as elemental cadmium and cadmium oxide, sulfide or chloride) is emitted to the atmosphere from both natural and anthropogenic sources. Weathering and erosion of cadmium-bearing rocks is the most important natural source of cadmium. Other natural sources include volcanoes, sea spray, and

forest fires. The principal anthropogenic sources are non-ferrous metal production and fossil fuel combustion, followed by ferrous metal production, waste incineration, and cement production (WHO, 2000; ATSDR, 2008; UNEP, 2008)

Cadmium does not break down in the environment. Atmospheric cadmium compounds are transported (sometimes for long distances) and deposited (onto surface soils and water) with minimal transformation in the atmosphere (ATSDR, 2008). There is uncertainty about the relative magnitude of natural emissions versus anthropogenic emissions. Total global anthropogenic emissions in the mid-1990s were estimated at approximately 3000 tonnes. During 1990–2003, anthropogenic emissions of cadmium reportedly decreased by about half in Europe, and by about two-thirds in Canada (UNEP, 2008).

Mean total cadmium concentrations in air vary according to proximity to industrial source, and to population density. Measurement data from northern Europe for the period 1980–88 were reported as being around 0.1 ng/m³ in remote areas, 0.1–0.5 ng/m³ in rural areas, 1–10 ng/m³ in urban areas, and 1–20 ng/m³ in industrial areas, with levels of up to 100 ng/m³ being observed near emission sources (WHO, 2000). Similar variations were observed in the USA (UNEP, 2008).

#### 1.4.3 Water

Cadmium enters the aquatic environment from numerous diffuse (e.g. agricultural and urban run-off, atmospheric fall-out) and point sources, both natural and anthropogenic. Weathering and erosion of cadmium-containing rocks result in the release of cadmium not only to the atmosphere, but also to the soil and the aquatic system (directly and through the deposition of airborne particles) (ATSDR, 2008; UNEP, 2008). Cadmium is released to the aquatic environment from a range of anthropogenic sources, including non-ferrous metal mining and smelting (from

mine drainage water, waste water, tailing pond overflow, rainwater run-off from mine areas), plating operations, phosphate fertilizers, sewage-treatment plants, landfills, and hazardous waste sites (IARC, 1993a; ATSDR, 2008).

Weathering and erosion are estimated to contribute 15000 tonnes of cadmium annually to the global aquatic environment, while atmospheric fall-out (of anthropogenic and natural emissions) is estimated to contribute between 900 and 3600 tonnes (UNEP, 2008).

#### 1.4.4 Soil and sediments

Natural and anthropogenic sources (e.g. mine/smelter wastes, commercial fertilizers derived from phosphate ores or sewage sludge, municipal waste landfills) contribute to the levels of cadmium found in soil and sediments. Wet or dry deposition of atmospheric cadmium on plants and soil can lead to cadmium entering the food-chain through foliar absorption or root uptake. The rate of cadmium transfer depends on a variety of factors, including deposition rates, type of soil and plant, the pH of the soil, humus content, availability of organic matter, treatment of the soil with fertilizers, meteorology, and the presence of other elements, such as zinc (WHO, 2000; UNEP, 2008). Reported sediment concentrations of cadmium range from 0.03-1 mg/kg in marine sediments to as high as 5 mg/kg in river and lake sediments (Nordic Council of Ministers, 2003). Relatively high concentrations of cadmium (> 1 mg/kg) have been measured in the soil near smelters and other industrialized areas (WHO, 2000).

## 1.5 Human exposure

## 1.5.1 Exposure of the general population

The non-smoking general population is exposed to cadmium primarily via ingestion of food and, to a lesser extent, via inhalation of ambient air, ingestion of drinking-water, contaminated soil or dust. For the US population, the geometric mean daily intake of cadmium in food is estimated to be 18.9  $\mu$ g/day. In most countries, the average daily intake of cadmium in food is in the range of 0.1–0.4  $\mu$ g/kg body weight (CDC, 2005; ATSDR, 2008; UNEP, 2008; EFSA, 2009)

Because tobacco leaves naturally accumulate large amounts of cadmium (Morrow, 2001), cigarettes are a significant source of cadmium exposure for the smoking general population. It has been estimated that tobacco smokers are exposed to 1.7 µg cadmium per cigarette, and about 10% is inhaled when smoked (Morrow, 2001; NTP, 2005). Data on blood and urine levels of smokers are found in Section 1.6.

## 1.5.2 Occupational exposure

The main route of cadmium exposure in the occupational setting is via the respiratory tract, although there may be incidental ingestion of dust from contaminated hands, and food (ATSDR, 2008). Occupations in which the highest potential exposures occur include cadmium production and refining, Ni-Cd battery manufacture, cadmium pigment manufacture and formulation, cadmium alloy production, mechanical plating, zinc smelting, brazing with a silvercadmium-silver alloy solder, and polyvinylchloride compounding. Although levels vary widely among the different industries, occupational exposures generally have decreased since the 1970s. For more details on historical occupational exposures to cadmium, see the previous IARC Monograph (IARC, 1993a).

Estimates of the number of workers potentially exposed to cadmium and cadmium compounds have been developed by CAREX in Europe. Based on occupational exposure to known and suspected carcinogens collected during 1990–93, the CAREX (CARcinogen Exposure) database estimates that 207350 workers were exposed to cadmium and cadmium compounds in the

European Union, with over 50% of workers employed in the construction (n = 32113), manufacture of fabricated metal products (n = 23541), non-ferrous base metal industries (n = 22290), manufacture of plastic products not elsewhere classified (n = 16493), personal and household services (n = 15004), and manufacture of machinery except electrical (n = 13266).

CAREX Canada estimates that 35000 Canadians (80% males) are exposed to cadmium in their workplaces (CAREX Canada, 2011). The largest exposed group are workers in polyvinyl chloride plastic product manufacturing (n = 12000), who are exposed to cadmiumbearing stabilizers. Other industries in which exposure occurs include: foundries, commercial and industrial machinery manufacturing, motor vehicle parts manufacture, architectural and structural metal manufacturing, non-ferrous metal (except aluminium) production and processing, metalworking machinery manufacturing, iron and steel mills and ferro-alloy manufacturing, alumina and aluminium production and processing, and other electrical equipment and component manufacture.

Data from studies published since the previous *IARC Monograph* on exposure to cadmium and cadmium compounds in different occupational situations are summarized below.

### (a) Battery manufacture

Zhang et al. (2002) investigated the renal damage of cadmium-exposed workers in an Ni–Cd battery factory in the People's Republic of China between April and May 1998. Based on area sampling measurements collected during 1986–92, the geometric mean concentration of cadmium oxide dust was 2.17 mg/m³, with a range of 0.1–32.8 mg/m³. The overall geometric mean urinary cadmium concentration for the 214 workers was 12.8 μg/g creatinine (range of geometric means, 4.0–21.4 μg/g creatinine), and the overall geometric mean blood cadmium

concentration was 9.5  $\mu$ g/L (range of geometric means, 3.8–17.4  $\mu$ g/L).

Cumulative exposure to cadmium hydroxide in Ni–Cd battery workers in the United Kingdom (*n* = 926 male workers) was investigated during 1947–2000. Mean cadmium concentrations in air from personal samples were highest in the 1969–73 period (range, 0.88–3.99 mg/m³), and were lowest in the 1989–92 period (range, 0.024–0.12 mg/m³). Mean cadmium concentrations in air from static area samples were highest in the 1954–63 period (range, 0.35–1.29 mg/m³), and were lowest in the 1989–92 period (range, 0.002–0.03 mg/m³) (Sorahan & Esmen, 2004).

## (b) Cadmium recovery

Occupational exposure to cadmium compounds (oxide, sulfide, and sulfate) was investigated in male production workers (n = 571) from a cadmium recovery facility in the USA during 1940–82. Estimates of airborne cadmium exposures in the production departments ranged from 0.2 (in the tankhouse) to 1.5 mg/m³ (in the mixing, calcine and retort departments) before 1950, and from 0.02 (in the tankhouse) to 0.6 mg/m³ (in the sampling and roaster departments) for the 1965–76 time period (Sorahan & Lancashire, 1997).

## (c) Cadmium alloy production

Occupational exposure to cadmium oxide fumes was investigated in 347 copper–cadmium alloy workers, 624 workers employed in the vicinity of copper–cadmium alloy work, and 521 iron and brass foundry workers in England and Wales during 1922–80. Based on a review of 933 measurements of airborne cadmium made during 1951–83 (697 area samples, 236 personal samples), cumulative cadmium exposures were estimated to be 600  $\mu$ g/m³ for the 1926–30 time period, dropping to an estimated 56  $\mu$ g/m³ by the 1980s (Sorahan *et al.*, 1995).

### (d) Smelting

Occupational exposure to cadmium was investigated in 1462 male employees in a tin smelter in the United Kingdom during 1972–91. Annual average exposures in the principal process areas were reported. Average air levels were negligible in the dry-refining and electrorefining areas, low in the raw materials handling and roasters and ball mill areas (range of averages, 0.005–0.008 mg/m³), and moderate in the sintering and blast furnace areas (range of averages, 0.04–0.08 mg/m³) (Jones et al., 2007).

### (e) Vehicle manufacture

Wang et al. (2006) evaluated the exposure to metals of 82 welders and 51 operators in two vehicle-manufacturing plants in China. The geometric mean concentration of cadmium in the blood of welders was 3.54  $\mu$ g/L (range, 0.2–12.5  $\mu$ g/L), and was significantly higher than the control group concentration of 0.79  $\mu$ g/L (range, 0.1–4.8  $\mu$ g/L).

## (f) Population-based surveys

Yassin & Martonik (2004) calculated the prevalence and mean urinary cadmium levels for all US workers, based on data collected from 11228 US workers aged 18-64 years who participated in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III, 1988-94). For all workers, urinary cadmium levels were in the range of 0.01–15.57 µg/L, with a geometric mean of  $0.30~\mu g/L~(0.28\mu g/g~creatinine)$ . The prevalence of elevated urinary cadmium levels was reported on the basis of the following ranges:  $\geq$  15 µg/L,  $\geq$  10 µg/L,  $\geq$  5 µg/L, and  $\geq$  3 µg/L. For all US workers aged 18-64 years, the prevalence of urinary cadmium levels  $\geq 5 \mu g/L$  was 0.42% (n = 551000), for levels  $\geq 10 \mu g/L$ , 0.06%(n = 78 471), and for levels  $\geq 15 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ , 0.0028% (n = 3907). The proportion of workers with elevated urinary cadmium varied by occupation and industry. Within industry, urinary cadmium levels  $\geq 10~\mu g/L$  were twice as prevalent among workers in the metal industry compared to workers in the manufacturing industry (0.45% versus 0.26%). Within occupation, urinary cadmium levels  $\geq 5~\mu g/L$  were 12 times as prevalent among vehicle mechanics than in transportation workers (1.71% versus 0.14%), and five times as prevalent in construction workers than in agriculture workers (0.73% versus 0.14%).

## 1.5.3 Dietary exposure

Low levels of cadmium have been measured in most foodstuffs (average concentrations are less than 0.02  $\mu$ g/g). Factors influencing cadmium levels in food include: food type (e.g. seafood or leafy vegetables versus meat or dairy), growing conditions (e.g. soil type, water), agricultural and cultivation practices, meteorological conditions (i.e. rate of atmospheric deposition), and anthropogenic contamination of soil or aquatic system (UNEP, 2008; EFSA, 2009; WHO, 2011). Highly contaminated areas have higher cadmium concentrations in locally produced food, and the use of cadmium-containing fertilizers in agriculture increase cadmium concentrations in the crops, and derived products.

High concentrations of cadmium are found in leafy vegetables (e.g. lettuce, spinach), starchy roots (e.g. potatoes), cereals and grains, nuts and pulses (e.g. peanuts, soybeans, sunflower seeds). Lower concentrations of cadmium are found in meat and fish, with the exception of certain shellfish (e.g. oysters), and certain organ meats (e.g. kidney and liver), which concentrate cadmium. Weekly dietary intake estimates in the EU are in the range of 1.9–3.0 μg/kg body weight (mean, 2.3 µg/kg body weight) for nonvegetarians. Vegetarians, regular consumers of bivalve mollusks, and wild mushrooms are, respectively, estimated to have weekly dietary cadmium exposures of 5.4 µg, 4.6 µg, and 4.3 µg (per kg of body weight). On a body weight basis, estimated cadmium intakes are generally higher

for infants and children than for adults (<u>UNEP</u>, <u>2008</u>; <u>EFSA</u>, <u>2009</u>).

## 1.5.4 Biomarkers of exposure

Several analytical procedures are available for measuring cadmium concentrations in biological samples. These include: atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), electrothermal atomic absorption spectroscopy (ET-AAS), flame atomic absorption, graphite furnace atomic absorption, inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES), inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), neutron activation analysis, potentiometric stripping analysis, radiochemical neutron activation analysis, X-ray fluorescence, and treatment with methyl isobutyl ketone, ammonium pyrrolidenedithiocarbamate, or 13-bis[2-(pyridyl)ethylidene]thiocarbonhydride. The choice of analytical method is determined by several factors, including the sample matrix available (i.e. blood, plasma, serum, tissue, milk, hair, kidney, liver, muscle, urine, or teeth), and the detection limit required (ATSDR, 2008).

Cadmium in blood is used as an indicator of both recent and cumulative exposures, and urinary cadmium predominantly reflects cumulative exposure and the concentration of cadmium in the kidney (CDC, 2005). In the general population, normal blood cadmium concentrations are in the range of 0.4– $1.0~\mu$ g/L for non-smokers and 1.4– $4~\mu$ g/L for smokers, although much higher levels have been reported for environmental exposure (above  $10~\mu$ g/L), and occupational exposure (up to  $50~\mu$ g/L) (UNEP, 2008). Women typically have higher urinary cadmium concentrations than men, in part perhaps magnified by adjustment for creatinine excretion, which is lower in women (EFSA, 2009).

In a general population survey of approximately 4700 adults in Germany, Becker et al. (2002, 2003) found geometric mean cadmium levels of 0.44  $\mu$ g/L in blood, and 0.23  $\mu$ g/L in

urine. Smokers had a blood level of 1.1  $\mu$ g/L, and non-smokers a level of 0.28  $\mu$ g/L. Smokers had a urine level of 0.29  $\mu$ g/L, former smokers 0.25  $\mu$ g/L, and never-smokers 0.18  $\mu$ g/L.

A study by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in the USA based on data from a random sample of people (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2002), found that the mean blood concentration of cadmium was 0.41  $\mu$ g/L (n = 7970), and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile blood concentration was 1.3  $\mu$ g/L; the mean urine concentration of cadmium was 0.91  $\mu$ g/L (n = 2257), and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile blood concentration was 1.2  $\mu$ g/L (CDC, 2005). NHANES data for workers in the period 1988–94 (urinary cadmium) are presented in Section 1.5.2 (Yassin & Martonik, 2004).

In an investigation of non-occupational cadmium exposure of 52 adult women in Bangkok, Thailand, Zhang et al. (1999) found a geometric mean level of cadmium in blood of 0.41  $\mu$ g/L and 1.40  $\mu$ g/g creatinine in urine. These were the lowest when compared to four neighbouring cities in South-eastern Asia (Kuala Lumpur, 0.74  $\mu$ g/L and 1.51  $\mu$ g/g; Manila, 0.47  $\mu$ g/L and 1.21  $\mu$ g/g; Nanning, 0.71  $\mu$ g/L and 1.87  $\mu$ g/g; and Tainan, 0.83  $\mu$ g/L and 1.59  $\mu$ g/g).

## 2. Cancer in Humans

The previous *IARC Monograph* on cadmium and cadmium compounds conclusion was based largely on evidence of increased lung cancer risk among workers exposed to cadmium (<u>IARC</u>, 1993b).

## 2.1 Cancer of the lung

In two small copper–cadmium alloy plants in the United Kingdom, the rate of mortality from lung cancer was increased in one but decreased in the other (<u>Holden</u>, 1980). The follow-up was extended by <u>Sorahan et al.</u> (1995) who documented increased risks of lung cancer in vicinity workers only, and an increased risk of non-malignant diseases of the respiratory system at higher cumulative cadmium exposures [Although an increased risk of lung cancer was not documented in this study, the Working Group noted that cases of lung cancer could potentially be misclassified as non-malignant disease. There was some population overlap between these studies.]

For cadmium-processing workers from 17 plants in the United Kingdom, mortality from lung cancer was significantly increased (standardized mortality ratio [SMR], 1.12; 95%CI: 1.00–1.24), with apparent positive trends with duration of employment and with intensity of exposure (Kazantzis & Blanks, 1992). The increase in lung cancer risk was stronger in the small proportion of workers with high cadmium exposure (SMR, 1.62; 95%CI: 0.89–2.73).

Follow-up of the United Kingdom Ni–Cd battery workers confirmed a slight increase in SMR for lung cancer associated with duration of employment in high-exposure jobs (Sorahan, 1987). Although not associated with cumulative exposure to cadmium, a significant increase in the SMR for cancers of the pharynx was also seen, and a non-significantly increased SMR for lung cancer was observed (Sorahan & Esmen, 2004).

An increase in mortality rates from lung cancer was detected in a small cohort of individuals who worked in the Ni–Cd battery-producing industry in Sweden, and who had the longest duration of employment and latency (Elinder et al., 1985). Further follow-up showed an SMR for lung cancer in male battery workers of 1.76 (95%CI: 1.01–2.87), although without association with estimated total cadmium exposure (Järup et al., 1998).

Excess mortality from lung cancer was reported among workers employed in a US cadmium recovery plant, which had been an arsenic smelter until 1925 (Lemen *et al.*, 1976),

and a dose-response relationship was demonstrated between the estimated cumulative exposure to cadmium and lung cancer risk (Stayner et al., 1993). The dose-response relationship was unlikely to be due to confounding by cigarette smoking, and the relationship persisted among workers employed after 1940, when little arsenic was present in feedstock (Stayner et al., 1993). The US Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) estimated that exposure to arsenic would have resulted in no more than one case of lung cancer death in this cohort. Using detailed job histories and dust measurements from the same US plant, Sorahan & Lancashire (1997) estimated total cadmium exposure, and identified workers with and without high potential for exposure to arsenic. Relative to the workers in the lowest cumulative exposure category, increased SMRs for lung cancer were found among the workers in higher exposure categories, especially after a lag time of 10 or 20 years. However, significant excess risks of lung cancer were found only for the early years of operation, when exposures to cadmium occurred in the presence of high arsenic exposures. For workers only employed in jobs with little or no exposure to arsenic, cumulative exposure to cadmium was weakly associated with lung cancer mortality. A subsequent analysis of the arsenic-exposed component of this cohort (Sorahan, 2009) showed a statistically significant reduction in risk of lung cancer SMRs in relation to time since leaving employment with arsenic exposure. This pattern was interpreted by the author as implying a late-stage action of arsenic, and a role for arsenic and not cadmium in the causation of lung cancer in this cohort. [The Working Group found this indirect argument against a role for cadmium not to be convincing. The Working Group noted that the population overlapped between these studies.]

In Belgium, <u>Nawrot et al.</u> (2006) studied subjects residing near three zinc smelters and also subjects from the area away from the cadmium

pollution for the incidence of cancer from initial examinations in 1985–89 to 2004. Using urinary cadmium excretion and cadmium in garden soil as exposure indicators, the hazard ratio for lung cancer was 1.70 (95%CI: 1.13-2.57) for a doubling of the 24-hour urinary cadmium excretion, 4.17 (95%CI: 1.21-14.4) for residence in the highexposure area versus the low-exposure area, and 1.57 (95%CI: 1.11-2.24) for a doubling of the cadmium concentration in soil. Overall cancer was also increased in the high-exposure group. Information on smoking was included in the adjustments. Data on urinary cadmium excretion adjusted for arsenic suggested that arsenic exposure alone could not explain the observed increases in risk.

See Table 2.1 available at <a href="http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100C/100C-03-Table2.1.pdf">http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100C/100C-03-Table2.1.pdf</a>

## 2.2 Cancer of the prostate

Following a report of the occurrence of cancer of the prostate in a small group of workers employed in a plant manufacturing Ni-Cd batteries in the United Kingdom (Potts, 1965), a series of analyses of different occupational cohorts were undertaken, which did not confirm the excess (Kipling & Waterhouse, 1967; Kjellström et al., 1979; Holden, 1980; Sorahan & Waterhouse, 1983; Elinder et al., 1985; Thun et al., 1985; Sorahan, 1987; Kazantzis & Blanks, 1992; Sorahan & Esmen, 2004). Some of these studies reported a non-significantly increased risk for cancer of the prostate among cadmium-exposed workers, but the results were inconsistent, and mostly based on small numbers of cases. Sahmoun et al. (2005) calculated a weighted SMR from four studies of Ni-Cd battery production workers who were highly exposed to cadmium. The summary SMR was 1.26 (95%CI: 0.83-1.84) based on 27 deaths. [The Working Group noted that these populations overlapped.] See Table 2.2

available at <a href="http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100C/100C-03-Table2.2.pdf">http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100C/100C-03-Table2.2.pdf</a>.

Slightly increased odds ratios for cancer of the prostate were also reported from a casecontrol study nested within occupational cohorts (Armstrong & Kazantzis, 1985). A hospital-based case-control study using cadmium measurements in toenails (Vinceti et al., 2007) showed a significantly increased odds ratio at the highest concentrations. A case-control study nested within a cohort did not find this association. using the same biological sample collected at baseline as the exposure measure (Platz et al., 2002). [The Working Group noted that the exposure in the second study was lower than in the first, and that the cadmium concentration in toenails may represent a prediagnostic retention level of unknown validity as a measure of longterm exposure.]

A descriptive study from cadmium-polluted areas in Japan reported an increased mortality from cancer of the prostate in two of four areas studied (Shigematsu et al., 1982). Using increased urinary excretion of  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin as a marker of cadmium toxicity within the Nagasaki Prefecture, increased cancer mortality (relative risk [RR], 2.58; 95%CI: 1.25–5.36) and cancer incidence (RR, 1.79; 95%CI: 0.84–3.82) were found among the subjects with signs of cadmium toxicity (Arisawa et al., 2001, 2007). Numbers for individual cancer sites were too low to allow for detailed analysis. [The Working Group noted that these populations overlapped.]

## 2.3 Other cancers

Other cancer sites, such as the pancreas, show a possible excess in SMRs, but only small numbers of cases have occurred in the occupational cohorts. In a small case–control study, the OR per ng/mL change in serum cadmium concentrations was estimated as 1.12 (95%CI: 1.04–1.23) for cancer of the pancreas (Kriegel et al., 2006). [The Working Group noted that the

serum concentration of cadmium is a less valid measure of cadmium exposure than concentrations in urine and whole blood.]

For cancer of the kidney, small numbers were reported in two of the cohort studies without any evidence of an association with cadmium exposure (Järup et al., 1998; Sorahan & Esmen, 2004), but more recent data are available from case-control studies. A German multicentre study (Pesch et al., 2000) included 935 cases of renal cell carcinoma and 4298 controls, and cadmium exposure was assessed by a national job-exposure matrix (JEM). In men and women, respectively, the OR was 1.4 (95%CI: 1.1-1.8) and 2.5 (95%CI: 1.2-5.3) for high exposure and 1.4 (95%CI: 0.9–2.1) and 2.2 (95%CI: 0.6–9.0) for very high exposure. In a Canadian study of 1279 cases of renal cell carcinoma and 5370 controls, selfreported cadmium exposure was a risk factor in males (OR, 1.7; 95%CI: 1.0-3.2) (<u>Hu et al., 2002</u>). Most recently, a German hospital-based casecontrol study of 134 cases of renal cell carcinoma and 401 controls reported an OR for high exposure of 1.7 (95%CI: 0.7–4.2) (Brüning et al., 2003).

A hypothesis-generating case-control study in the Montréal (Canada) metropolitan area showed that the bladder was the only one of 20 cancer sites to be associated with exposure to cadmium compounds (Siemiatycki, 1991). In a case-control study of transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder, the blood cadmium concentration was measured as an indicator of long-term cadmium exposure; the highest exposure tertile showed an OR of 5.7 (95%CI: 3.3–9.9); adjustments included smoking and occupational exposures to polyaromatic hydrocarbons and aromatic amines (Kellen et al., 2007).

In another study, increased cadmium concentrations were found in breast tissue, but the mean cadmium concentration found in breast cancer patients was not significantly different from that of controls (Antila et al., 1996). A larger case-control study of breast cancer used urinary cadmium excretion levels as a measure

of cumulated cadmium exposure; each increase by 1.0 μg/g creatinine was associated with an OR of 2.09 (95%CI: 1.2–3.8) (McElroy *et al.*, 2006).

On the basis of food frequency questionnaires in 1987–90 and 1997, <u>Åkesson et al.</u> (2008) calculated dietary cadmium intakes; the highest tertile of cadmium exposure had an OR of 1.39 [95%CI: 1.04–1.86] for endometrial cancer in postmenopausal women. The association was stronger in never-smokers, in women with normal body mass index, and in non-users of postmenopausal hormones.

## 2.4 Synthesis

The assessment of cancer risks in occupational cohorts exposed to cadmium is constrained by the small number of long-term, highly exposed workers, the lack of historical data on exposure to cadmium, particularly for the non-US plants, and the inability to define and examine a gradient of cumulative exposure across studies. Confounding by cigarette smoking in relation to the assessment of lung cancer risk among cadmium-exposed workers was addressed directly only in the study from the USA. Some other studies provided analyses based on internal comparisons, which are not likely to be affected by this problem of confounding. Few studies were able to control the confounding effect of co-exposure to other substances, particularly arsenic and nickel; however, the analyses of workers with low levels of exposure to arsenic still showed an increased lung cancer risk associated with cadmium exposure. Additional support for a cadmium-linked lung cancer risk comes from a prospective population-based study in environmentally polluted areas in Belgium.

The results of the studies on cadmium exposure and the risk of prostate cancer are suggestive of an association, but the results are inconsistent. In studies of occupational cohorts exposed to cadmium, studies of people residing in cadmium-contaminated areas and case—control studies of individuals with prostate cancer, some studies

reported an increased risk for prostate cancer, while other studies did not indicate the same. The results from cohort studies are supported by a hospital-based case—control study that included highly exposed subjects.

Case-control studies suggest that other cancer sites, such as the kidney, and perhaps also the bladder, the breast, and the endometrium may show increased risks associated with dietary or respiratory cadmium exposure. [The Working Group noted that although case-control studies may be subject to bias from exposure misclassification, some studies considered have the strength of inclusion of blood or urine cadmium analyses that provide individual exposure data.]

## 3. Cancer in Experimental Animals

Cadmium compounds have been tested for carcinogenicity by subcutaneous administration to rats, mice, and hamsters, by intramuscular injection to rats, by oral exposure to rats and mice, by intraperitoneal exposure to mice, by inhalation exposure to rats, mice and hamsters, and by intratracheal administration to rats.

Particularly relevant studies reviewed in the previous *IARC Monograph* (<u>IARC</u>, <u>1993b</u>) were reconsidered in this evaluation.

All cadmium compounds tested were not carcinogenic by all routes tested but most studies performed provided evidence for cadmium-induced carcinogenicity in animals.

#### 3.1 Oral administration

Oral administration of cadmium chloride to rats increased the incidence of large granular lymphocytes, leukaemia, prostate tumours, and testis tumours in Wistar rats (<u>Waalkes & Rehm, 1992</u>). Noble rats exposed to oral cadmium chloride developed prostate hyperplasia (<u>Waalkes et al., 1999b</u>).

See Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Studies of cancer in experimental animals exposed to cadmium (oral exposure)

Species, strain (sex) Duration Reference	Dosing regimen Animals/group at start	Incidence of tumours	Significance	Comments
Rat, Wistar WF/NCr (M) 77 wk Waalkes & Rehm (1992)	Cadmium chloride 0, 25, 50, 100 or 200 ppm in diet Also fed previous diets with zinc levels of 60 ppm (zinc adequate), 7 ppm (zinc deficient) for 2 wk 28/group 56 pooled controls	Prostate (tumours): 4/26 (15%) cadmium (50ppm) vs 1/54 (2%) pooled controls High-dose cadmium + zinc deficient: Testis (tumours)- 6/27 (22%) vs 1/28 (3%) controls	P < 0.05	Age at start, 2 wk Prostate tumours not affected by zinc deficiency unless combined with prostate hyperplasias No increase in testis tumours with cadmium alone
	eo poolea control	Leukaemia (LGL): 7/25 (28%) vs pooled controls 3/55 (5%)	P < 0.05	
102 wk 0, <u>Waalkes <i>et al.</i> (1999b)</u> d	Cadmium chloride 0, 25, 50, 100, 200 ppm in drinking-water 30/group	Prostate (dorsolateral and ventral; hyperplasias): 6 (21%), 12 (46%), 13 (50%), 6 (21%), 4 (15%)	<i>P</i> < 0.05 vs control (Groups 2 & 3)	Age at start, 10 wk No dose response to induction of any tumour type
		Testis (tumours): 2/29 (7%), 2/30 (7%), 3/30 (10%), 4/30 (13%), 5/28 (18%)	NR	
		Adrenal gland (pheochromocytomas): 2 (7%), 3 (10%), 8 (27%), 6 (20%), 3 (10%)	<i>P</i> < 0.05 (mid-dose)	

d, day or days; h, hour or hours; mo, month or months; LGL, large granular lymphocyte; NR, not reported; NS, not significant; vs, versus; wk, week or weeks

## 3.2 Inhalation and intratracheal administration

#### 3.2.1 Rat

Inhalation exposure to cadmium chloride caused lung tumours in rats (<u>Takenaka et al.</u>, 1983; <u>Glaser et al.</u>, 1990). Cadmium sulfate, cadmium oxide, cadmium oxide fume and dust also caused lung tumours in rats (<u>Glaser et al.</u>, 1990).

Intratracheal administration of cadmium chloride and cadmium sulfide caused lung tumours in rats (Oberdörster & Cherian, 1992).

#### 3.2.2 Hamster

Cadmium chloride, cadmium sulfate, cadmium sulfide, and cadmium oxide fume did not cause lung tumours in hamsters (<u>Heinrich et al.</u>, 1989; <u>Heinrich</u>, 1992).

See Table 3.2.

## 3.3 Subcutaneous administration

Many of the earliest carcinogenicity studies with cadmium compounds in rodents involved subcutaneous or intramuscular administration. In most studies, injection-site sarcomas developed in rats and mice. Mice were generally less susceptible than were rats. The earlier studies are reviewed in the previous *IARC Monograph*, and are not reviewed here, in part, because larger and better designed studies were published after 1993.

#### 3.3.1 Mouse

Subcutaneous administration of cadmium chloride caused lymphomas, lung tumours (Waalkes & Rehm, 1994), and injection-site sarcomas (Waalkes et al., 1991a; Waalkes & Rehm, 1994) in mice.

### 3.3.2 Rat

Subcutaneous administration of cadmium chloride caused injection-site sarcomas (Waalkes et al., 1988, 1989, 1991b, 1997, 1999a, 2000; IARC, 1993b; Shirai et al., 1993), and testis (interstitial cell) tumours in rats (Waalkes et al., 1988, 1989, 1997, 1999b, 2000). Cadmium chloride caused prostate tumours and/or preneoplastic lesions in Wistar and Noble rats (Waalkes et al., 1988, 1999b), but not in other studies in F344 or Wistar Furth rats (Waalkes et al., 1991c, 2000; Shirai et al., 1993).

#### 3.3.3 Hamster

A single injection of cadmium chloride did not induce tumours in hamsters (Waalkes & Rehm, 1998).

A variety of cadmium compounds and metallic cadmium caused local sarcomas in rats or mice (IARC, 1993b).

See Table 3.3.

## 3.4 Administration with known carcinogens or other agents

The incidence of injection-site sarcomas in Wistar rats induced by cadmium chloride was significantly reduced by both the subcutaneous and oral administration of zinc (Waalkes et al., 1989). Testicular tumours induced by subcutaneously administered cadmium chloride were inhibited by zinc, and were found to be associated with a reduction of the chronic degenerative testicular lesions induced by cadmium chloride (Waalkes et al., 1989).

Testosterone implantation eliminated both cadmium-induced and spontaneous testis tumours in F344 rats but had no effect on cadmium-induced chronic testicular degeneration (Waalkes et al., 1997).

Table 3.2 Studies of cancer in experimental animals exposed to cadmium (inhalation and intratracheal exposure)

Species, strain (sex) Duration Reference	Dosing regimen Animals/group at start	Incidence of tumours	Significance	Comments
Inhalation				
Rat, Wistar, TNO/W75 (M) 31 mo <u>Takenaka et al. (1983)</u>	Cadmium chloride 12.5, 25 or 50 µg/m³, 23 h/d, 7 d/ wk for 18 mo 40/group	Lung (adenocarcinomas): 0/38, 6/39 (15%), 20/38 (52%), 25/35 (71%)	[ <i>P</i> < 0.0001; Groups 3 & 4]	Age at start, 6 wk
Rat, Wistar, TNO/W75 > BOR-WISW (M, F) 31 mo Glaser et al. (1990)	0 to 900 µg/m³ of cadmium chloride, cadmium sulfate, cadmium oxide, cadmium oxide fume, zinc oxide dust, and cadmium oxide dust, 40 h/wk for 18 mo Groups of 20–40 males, 20 females	All forms increased lung tumour incidence, 18/20 (90%) in cadmium sulfate females, 0/20 in controls from 31 experimental groups Controls, males 0/40, females 0/20 High doses > 75% incidences	[P < 0.0001]	Age at start, 9 wk Problem with concentration of cadmium in cadmium oxide fume Data from 31 experimental groups in Table 13, p.166, Volume 58 (IARC, 1993b)
Intratracheal		<u> </u>		
Rat, Wistar (F) 124 wk Oberdörster & Cherian (1992)	Cadmium chloride or cadmium oxide 20 weekly 1 or 3 µg or 15 weekly 9 µg	Lung (tumours): Cadmium chloride– Controls, 0/40; 20, 0/38; 60, 3/40 (7%); 135, 2/36 (6%)	<i>P</i> < 0.01 trend test	Cadmium chloride and cadmium sulfide purity, 99%
	Cadmium sulfide 10 weekly 63, 250 or 1000 μg (purity 99%)	Cadmium oxide– 20, 2/37 (5%); 60, 2/40 (5%); 135, 0/39	NS	
	Controls received 20x0.3ml saline	Cadmium sulfide– 630, 2/39 (5%); 2500, 8/36 (22%); 10000, 7/36 (19%)	P = 0.0005 trend test	

d, day or days; h, hour or hours; mo, month or months; NR, not reported; NS, not significant; wk, week or weeks

Cadmium and cadmium compounds

Table 3.3 Studies of cancer in experimental animals exposed to cadmium (subcutaneous or intramuscular exposure; for years < 1993, only selected references included)

Species, strain (sex) Duration Reference	Dosing regimen Animals/group at start	Incidence of tumours	Significance	Comments
Rat, Wistar Crl WI BR (M) 104 wk <u>Waalkes et al. (1988)</u>	Cadmium chloride Single s.c. 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, or $40 \mu \text{mol/kg bw};$ $5 \mu \text{mol/kg } 4 \times 5 \text{ and } 10 \mu \text{mol/kg } 1 \times \text{each},$ $5 \text{ and } 20 \mu \text{mol/kg } 1 \times \text{each}$ (time 0 (low dose) and 48 h	Injection site (mostly sarcomas, also fibromas, epithelial tumours): 2/45 (4%), 1/30 (3%), 0/29, 1/30 (3%), 2/30 (7%), 1/29 (3%), *14/30 (47%) 0/30, 1/30 (3%), *8/30 (27%)	<i>P</i> < 0.05 from pooled control	Age at start, 6 wk High dose cadmium reduced testicular tumour responses Prostate tumour response is not strong or a dose response
	(high dose)) 30/group 45 pooled controls	Testis (tumours): 8/45 (18%), 1/30 (3%), 3/29 (10%), 3/30 (10%), 4/30 (13%), *21/29 (72%), *24/29 (83%) 4/30 (13%), 2/30 (7%), 5/30 (17%)	P < 0.05	
		Prostate (ventral lobe; tumours): 5/44 (11%), 6/27 (22%), *8/26 (31%), 4/28 (14%), 4/23 (17%), 4/26 (15%), 3/29 (10%) 2/26 (8%), 5/28 (18%), 6/28 (21%)	<i>P</i> < 0.05 from pooled control	
		Preneoplastic foci: Positive trend with single dose (data in chart) Pancreas (acinar and islet cell): Negative trend with dose	NR NR	

<b>Table 3.3 (c</b>	ontinued)
---------------------	-----------

Species, strain (sex) Duration Reference	Dosing regimen Animals/group at start	Incidence of tumours	Significance	Comments
Rat, Wistar (M) 104 wk <u>Waalkes et al. (1989)</u>	Cadmium chloride Single injection s.c. 30 µmole/ kg 3 × zinc acetate 0.1, 0.3, 1.0 mmol/kg i.m. 30 mmole/kg cadmium chloride + zinc chloride 1 mmol/kg + zinc acetate in	Injection site (sarcomas): 12/30 (40%), pooled controls 0/84 1 × zinc reduced incidence	P < 0.05	
		Testis (tumours): Cd 1 × 25/30 (83%), controls 9/83 (11%) Zinc, dose-dependent decrease	P < 0.05	
	water 30/group	Prostate (adenoma): i.m. Cd 11/26 (42%), Cd+zinc 8/27 (30%), i.m. Cd+s.c. zinc 7/28 (25%), controls 8/83 (10%)	<i>P</i> < 0.05	
Rat, F344 (M) 104 wk	Cadmium chloride 20 µmole/kg s.c. once/wk for 5 wk Testosterone implants, 10 interim sacrifices 50/group	Testis (tumours): Controls 24/40 (60%)		Age at start, 10 wk
Waalkes <i>et al.</i> (1997)		Testosterone only *0/40 Cd only *34/40 (98%)	* $P \le 0.05$ from control	
		Testosterone+Cd *†0/37	$^{\dagger}P \le 0.05 \text{ from}$ cadmium alone	
Rat, Noble, NBL/Cr (M) 72 wk Waalkes et al. (1999a)	Cadmium chloride Single injection s.c. 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 μmole/kg 30/group	Testis: 1/30 (3%), 0/30, 0/30, 1/30 (3%), 7/30 (23%), 29/30 (96%), 28/30 (93%)	<i>P</i> < 0.053 (higher doses)	Prostate hyperplasia only
		Injection site (sarcomas): 0/30, 0/30, 0/30, 0/30, 0/30, 0/30, 0/30, 0/30, 0/30, 7/30 (22%), 11/30 (37%)	<i>P</i> < 0.05	
		Prostate (proliferative lesions): 9/25 (36%), 16/26 (62%), 19/29 (65%), 19/24 (79%), 17/27 (63%), 18/30 (60%), 15/29 (52%)	P < 0.05, three middle doses	

Species, strain (sex) Duration Reference	Dosing regimen Animals/group at start	Incidence of tumours	Significance	Comments
Rat, WF/NCr, F344/NCr (M) 104 wk <u>Waalkes et al. (2000)</u>	Cadmium chloride Single injection, s.c. 0, 10, 20, 30 µmole/kg bw weekly for 18 wk, 3 µmole/ kg 1 wk then weekly 17 × 30 µmole/kg 30/group	Injection site (sarcomas): WF-0/20, 1/29 (3%), 21/29 (72%), 23/28 (82%), 23/29 (79%) F344-0/30, 11/30 (37%), 17/30 (68%), 8/12 (67%), 18/30 (60%)	<i>P</i> < 0.05 WF, four highest doses; F344 all doses	No prostate tumours were reported
		Testis: WF-11/29 (38%), 27/29 (93%), 19/29 (65%), 15/28 (54%), 15/29 (52%) F344-29/30 (97%), 28/30 (93%), 14/25 (56%), 8/12 (67%), 12/30 (43%)	P < 0.05 WF, two lower doses; F344 reduction in three highest doses	Pituitary adenomas reduced in higher doses of WF rats
Mouse, DBA/2NCr, NFS/NCr 104 wk ( <u>Waalkes &amp; Rehm, 1994</u> )	Cadmium chloride 40 µmol/kg s.c. 1once or once/wk for 16 wk 30–40/group	Lymphomas: DBA-1X Cd, 11/23 (48%); 16 × Cd, *16/28 (57%) Controls, 7/27 (26%)	P = 0.024 trend test	Age, 8 wk Strain differences seen No testis tumours
	Ç î	Injection site (sarcomas): NFS-1X Cd, 3/27 (11%); 16 × Cd, 3/32 (9%) Controls, 0/23	P = 0.016 trend test	
		Lung: NFS-1X Cd, *21/28 (75%); 16 × Cd, 9/35 (26%) Controls, 6/25 (24%)		

h, hour or hours; i.m., intramuscular; NR, not reported; s.c., subcutaneous; wk, week or weeks

## 3.5 Synthesis

By inhalation, various cadmium compounds induce lung tumours in rats (cadmium chloride, cadmium oxide, cadmium oxide dust, cadmium oxide fumes, cadmium sulfide). Intratracheal administration of cadmium chloride and cadmium sulfide induces lung tumours in rats. In one study, subcutaneous injection of cadmium chloride caused lung tumours in mice. A variety of cadmium compounds and metallic cadmium cause local sarcomas in rats or mice. Administration of various salts of cadmium causes testicular tumours in rats. Cadmium chloride induced prostatic proliferative lesions and testicular tumours in rats after subcutaneous or oral administration.

## 4. Other Relevant Data

## 4.1 Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion

Inhalation is the major route of cadmium exposure in occupational settings, whereas most people in the general population are exposed to cadmium via the ingestion of both food and drinking-water. Exposure to cadmium particulates lead to cadmium absorption in animals and humans (IARC, 1993b).

In occupational settings, cadmium and cadmium compounds, being non-volatile, exist in air as fine particulates. Animal studies (Rusch et al., 1986) have shown that lung retention may be up to 20%, especially after short-term exposure.

When ingested, most of the cadmium passes through the gastrointestinal tract without being absorbed. Estimates of the cadmium absorption rate in humans have been reported as 3–5% (Morgan & Sherlock, 1984) or 6.5% (Horiguchi et al., 2004). Even lower rates have been reported for experimental animals, especially after long-term repeated exposures (Schäfer et al., 1990).

When absorbed, cadmium will bind to metallothionein, forming a cadmium-metallothionein complex that is transferred (via blood) primarily to the liver and the kidney (Waalkes & Goering, 1990). Metallothionein is inducible in different tissues (e.g. liver, kidney, intestine, and lung) by exposure to various agents including cadmium (Waalkes & Goering, 1990). When transported to the kidney, cadmium-metallothionein is readily filtered at the glomerulus, and may be efficiently reabsorbed from the filtrate in the proximal tubules (Foulkes, 1978; <u>Dorian et al.</u>, 1992a). In the tubules, the protein portion is rapidly degraded to release cadmium (Dorian et al., 1992b). Cadmium accumulates in kidney tubules, and causes damage to tubular cells, especially in the proximal tubules (Kasuya et al., 1992).

Absorbed cadmium is excreted very slowly, and the amounts excreted into urine and faeces are approximately equal (<u>Kjellström & Nordberg</u>, 1978). In humans, half-life estimates are in the range of 7–16 years (<u>Kjellström & Nordberg</u>, 1978; <u>Nordberg et al.</u>, 2007).

## 4.2 Genetic and related effects

In rodent experiments, cadmium salts cause increased frequencies of micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations. In mammalian cells *in vitro*, cadmium compounds cause DNA strand breaks and chromosomal aberrations, and are weakly mutagenic, whereas in most bacterial assays, cadmium compounds are not mutagenic (Waalkes, 2003; DFG, 2006). Both soluble and insoluble cadmium compounds generally give comparable results in genotoxicity assays when tested in parallel.

Because cadmium salts do not cause DNA damage in cell extracts or with isolated DNA (Valverde et al., 2001), the genotoxicity of cadmium has to be explained by indirect mechanisms. Frequently discussed mechanisms are related to oxidative stress, the inhibition of

DNA-repair systems, effects on cell proliferation, and on tumour-suppressor functions.

#### 4.2.1 Induction of oxidative stress

Even though cadmium is not redox-active, it has been shown to induce oxidative stress, both in vitro and in vivo. Cadmium sulfide induced hydrogen peroxide formation in human polymorphonuclear leukocytes, and cadmium chloride enhanced the production of superoxide in rat and human phagocytes (Sugiyama, 1994). The induction of DNA strand breaks and chromosomal aberrations by cadmium in mammalian cells is suppressed by antioxidants and antioxidative enzymes (Ochi et al., 1987; Stohs et al., 2001; Valko et al., 2006). Because cadmium does not undergo redox reactions under physiological conditions, the increased generation of reactive oxygen species levels and oxidative cellular damage may be due to the inhibitory effect of cadmium on antioxidant enzymes (Stohs et al., 2001; Valko et al., 2006) as well as on DNA-repair systems.

## 4.2.2 Inhibition of DNA repair

Cadmium is co-mutagenic and increases the mutagenicity of ultraviolet radiation, alkylation, and oxidation in mammalian cells. These effects are explained by the observation that cadmium inhibits several types of DNA-repair mechanisms, i.e. base excision, nucleotide excision, mismatch repair, and the elimination of the pre-mutagenic DNA precursor 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine (Hartwig & Schwerdtle, 2002). In base-excision repair, low concentrations of cadmium that do not generate oxidative damage as such, very effectively inhibit the repair of oxidative DNA damage in mammalian cells (Dally & Hartwig, 1997; Fatur et al., 2003). In nucleotide-excision repair, cadmium interferes with the removal of thymine dimers after UV irradiation by inhibiting the first step of this

repair pathway, i.e. the incision at the DNA lesion (Hartwig & Schwerdtle, 2002; Fatur et al., 2003). Furthermore, chronic exposure of yeast to very low cadmium concentrations results in hypermutability; and in human cell extracts, cadmium has been shown to inhibit DNA-mismatch repair (Jin et al., 2003). Additionally, cadmium disturbs the removal of 8-oxo-dGTP from the nucleotide pool by inhibiting the 8-oxo-dGTPases of bacterial and human origin (Bialkowski & Kasprzak, 1998).

One molecular mechanism related to the inactivation of DNA-repair proteins involves the displacement by cadmium of zinc from zincfinger structures in DNA-repair proteins such as xeroderma pigmentosum group A (XPA), which is required for nucleotide-excision repair, and formamidopyrimidine-DNA-glycosylase (Fpg), which is involved in base-excision repair in E. coli (Asmuss et al., 2000). Cadmium also inhibits the function of human 8-oxoguanine-DNAglycosylase (hOGG1), which is responsible for recognition and excision of the pre-mutagenic 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine during base-excision repair in mammalian cells (Potts et al., 2003). Even though hOGG1 contains no zinc-binding motif itself, the inhibition of its function is due to its downregulation as a result of diminished DNA-binding of the transcription factor SP1 that contains zinc-finger structures (Youn et al., 2005). Finally, cadmium induces a conformational shift in the zinc-binding domain of the tumour-suppressor protein p53. Thus, in addition to inhibiting repair proteins directly, cadmium downregulates genes involved in DNA repair in vivo (Zhou et al., 2004).

The impact of cadmium on DNA repair may be especially deleterious in cadmium-adapted cells. Cadmium induces several genes for cadmium and reactive oxygen species tolerance such as those coding for metallothionein, glutathione synthesis and function, catalase and superoxide dismutase (Stohs et al., 2001). Hence, a condition for prolonged cell survival in the

presence of cadmium is established (Chubatsu et al., 1992). Taking into account the impact of cadmium on DNA repair, tolerance to cadmium toxicity concurrently may constitute a greater opportunity for the induction of further critical mutations (Achanzar et al., 2002).

# 4.2.3 Deregulation of cell proliferation and disturbance of tumour-suppressor functions

Cadmium interacts with a multitude of cellular signal transduction pathways, many of which associated with mitogenic signalling. Submicromolar concentrations of cadmium stimulated DNA synthesis, and the proliferation of rat myoblast cells (von Zglinicki et al., 1992) and of rat macrophages (Misra et al., 2002). In various cell types in vitro, cadmium induces the receptor-mediated release of the second messengers inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate and calcium, activates various mitogenic protein kinases, transcription and translation factors, and induces the expression of cellular proto-oncogenes, *c-fos*, c-myc, and c-jun (Waisberg et al., 2003). However, it should be noted that the activation of mitogenactivated protein kinases is not a sufficient condition for enhanced cell proliferation, because persistent low-dose exposure of cells to cadmium has been shown to result in sustained activation of protein kinase ERK, but also to caspase activation and apoptosis (Martin et al., 2006). In addition to directly stimulating mitogenic signals, cadmium also inhibits the negative controls of cell proliferation. It inactivates the tumoursuppressor protein p53, and inhibits the p53 response to damaged DNA (Méplan et al., 1999). This finding could be particularly important to explain the carcinogenicity of cadmium because p53 is required for cell-cycle control, DNA repair, and apoptosis; its inactivation would be expected to lead to genomic instability.

It was also reported that cadmium modulates steroid-hormone-dependent signalling in

ovaries in rats, in a breast cancer cell line, and in cadmium-transformed prostate epithelial cells (Benbrahim-Tallaa et al., 2007a; Brama et al., 2007). Nevertheless, in in-vitro estrogenicity assays based on estrogen-receptor activity, no effect of cadmium was detected (Silva et al., 2006). Whether or not cadmium promotes tumour growth by an estrogen-mediated mechanism is still unknown.

In addition to effects on genes and genetic stability, cadmium also exerts epigenetic effects, which may contribute to tumour development. During cadmium-induced cellular transformation, DNA-(cytosine-5) methyltransferase activity and global DNA methylation were reduced after 1 week of exposure to cadmium (Takiguchi et al., 2003). Prolonged exposure to cadmium (~10 weeks) resulted in enhanced DNA-methyltransferase activity, and global DNA hypermethylation in these cells (Takiguchi et al., 2003), and in human prostate epithelial cells (Benbrahim-Tallaa et al., 2007b). Changes in DNA methylation is thought to have a tumourpromoting effect because a decrease in DNA methylation is associated with increased expression of cellular proto-oncogenes, and an increase of DNA methylation results in the silencing of tumour-suppressor genes.

## 4.3 Synthesis

Several mechanisms have been identified that potentially contribute to cadmium-induced carcinogenesis. Direct binding to DNA appears to be of minor importance, and mutagenic responses are weak. Convincing evidence exists on disturbances of DNA-repair and tumour-suppressor proteins, which lead to chromosomal damage and genomic instability. Further reported effects include changes in DNA-methylation patterns as well as interactions with signal-transduction processes, which may contribute to the deregulation of cell growth. However, it is not yet possible to assess the relative contributions of these latter mechanisms for cancer in humans.

## 5. Evaluation

There is *sufficient evidence* in humans for the carcinogenicity of cadmium and cadmium compounds. Cadmium and cadmium compounds cause cancer of the lung. Also, positive associations have been observed between exposure to cadmium and cadmium compounds and cancer of the kidney and of the prostate.

There is *sufficient evidence* in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of cadmium compounds.

There is *limited evidence* in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of cadmium metal.

Cadmium and cadmium compounds are carcinogenic to humans (Group 1).

### References

- Achanzar WE, Brambila EM, Diwan BA *et al.* (2002). Inorganic arsenite-induced malignant transformation of human prostate epithelial cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 94: 1888–1891. PMID:12488483
- Åkesson A, Julin B, Wolk A (2008). Long-term dietary cadmium intake and postmenopausal endometrial cancerincidence:apopulation-basedprospectivecohort study. *Cancer Res*, 68: 6435–6441. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0329 PMID:18676869
- Antila E, Mussalo-Rauhamaa H, Kantola M *et al.* (1996). Association of cadmium with human breast cancer. *Sci Total Environ*, 186: 251–256. doi:10.1016/0048-9697(96)05119-4 PMID:8677430
- Arisawa K, Nakano A, Saito H *et al.* (2001). Mortality and cancer incidence among a population previously exposed to environmental cadmium. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 74: 255–262. doi:10.1007/s004200000225 PMID:11401017
- Arisawa K, Uemura H, Hiyoshi M *et al.* (2007). Cause-specific mortality and cancer incidence rates in relation to urinary beta2-microglobulin: 23-year follow-up study in a cadmium-polluted area. *Toxicol Lett*, 173: 168–174. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2007.07.007 PMID:17766066
- Armstrong BG & Kazantzis G (1985). Prostatic cancer and chronic respiratory and renal disease in British cadmium workers: a case control study. *Br J Ind Med*, 42: 540–545. PMID:4016005

- Asmuss M, Mullenders LH, Eker A, Hartwig A (2000). Differential effects of toxic metal compounds on the activities of Fpg and XPA, two zinc finger proteins involved in DNA repair. *Carcinogenesis*, 21: 2097–2104. doi:10.1093/carcin/21.11.2097 PMID:11062174
- ATSDR (2008). *Draft Toxicological Profile for Cadmium*. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services.
- Becker K, Kaus S, Krause C *et al.* (2002). German Environmental Survey 1998 (GerES III): environmental pollutants in blood of the German population. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*, 205: 297–308. doi:10.1078/1438-4639-00155 PMID:12068749
- Becker K, Schulz C, Kaus S *et al.* (2003). German Environmental Survey 1998 (GerES III): environmental pollutants in the urine of the German population. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*, 206: 15–24. doi:10.1078/1438-4639-00188 PMID:12621899
- Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Liu J, Webber MM, Waalkes MP (2007a). Estrogen signalling and disruption of androgen metabolism in acquired androgen-independence during cadmium carcinogenesis in human prostate epithelial cells. *Prostate*, 67: 135–145. doi:10.1002/pros.20479 PMID:17075824
- Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Waterland RA, Dill AL *et al.* (2007b). Tumor suppressor gene inactivation during cadmium-induced malignant transformation of human prostate cells correlates with overexpression of de novo DNA methyltransferase. *Environ Health Perspect*, 115: 1454–1459. PMID:17938735
- Bialkowski K & Kasprzak KS (1998). A novel assay of 8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine 5'-triphosphate pyrophosphohydrolase (8-oxo-dGTPase) activity in cultured cells and its use for evaluation of cadmium(II) inhibition of this activity. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 26: 3194–3201. doi:10.1093/nar/26.13.3194 PMID:9628918
- Brama M, Gnessi L, Basciani S *et al.* (2007). Cadmium induces mitogenic signalling in breast cancer cell by an ERalpha-dependent mechanism. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, 264: 102–108. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2006.10.013 PMID:17125913
- Brüning T, Pesch B, Wiesenhütter B *et al.* (2003). Renal cell cancer risk and occupational exposure to trichloroethylene: results of a consecutive case-control study in Arnsberg, Germany. *Am J Ind Med*, 43: 274–285. doi:10.1002/ajim.10185 PMID:12594774
- CAREX Canada (2011). Available at: http:// www.carexcanada.ca/en/cadmium/ occupational\_exposure\_estimates/phase\_2/
- CDC (2005). Third National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Services. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/
- Chubatsu LS, Gennari M, Meneghini R (1992). Glutathione is the antioxidant responsible for resistance to oxidative

- stress in V79 Chinese hamster fibroblasts rendered resistant to cadmium. *Chem Biol Interact*, 82: 99–110. doi:10.1016/0009-2797(92)90017-F PMID:1547517
- Dally H & Hartwig A (1997). Induction and repair inhibition of oxidative DNA damage by nickel(II) and cadmium(II) in mammalian cells. *Carcinogenesis*, 18: 1021–1026. doi:10.1093/carcin/18.5.1021 PMID:9163690
- DFG (2006). Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft *List of MAK and BAT values: Cadmium and cadmium compounds*, Weinheim: Wiley-VCH-Verlag, No. 22, pp. 1–41
- Dorian C, Gattone VH 2nd, Klaasen CD (1992a). Renal cadmium deposition and injury as a result of accumulation of cadmium-metallothionein (CdMT) by the proximal convoluted tubules–A light microscopic autoradiography study with 109CdMT. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 114: 173–181. doi:10.1016/0041-008X(92)90066-2 PMID:1609408
- Dorian C, Gattone VH 2nd, Klaassen CD (1992b). Accumulation and degradation of the protein moiety of cadmium-metallothionein (CdMT) in the mouse kidney. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 117: 242–248. doi:10.1016/0041-008X(92)90243-L PMID:1471157
- EFSA. (2009). Cadmium in Food. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain. *The EFSA Journal*, 980: 1–139.
- Elinder CG, Kjellström T, Hogstedt C *et al.* (1985). Cancer mortality of cadmium workers. *Br J Ind Med*, 42: 651–655. PMID:4041382
- Fatur T, Lah TT, Filipic M (2003). Cadmium inhibits repair of UV-, methyl methanesulfonate- and N-methyl-N-nitrosourea-induced DNA damage in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Mutat Res*, 529: 109–116. PMID:12943924
- Foulkes EC (1978). Renal tubular transport of cadmium-metallothionein. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 45: 505–512. doi:10.1016/0041-008X(78)90112-6 PMID:705786
- Glaser U, Hochrainer D, Otto FJ, Oldiges H (1990). Carcinogenicity and toxicity of four cadmium compounds inhaled by rats. *Toxicol Environ Chem*, 27: 153–162. doi:10.1080/02772249009357568
- Hartwig A & Schwerdtle T (2002). Interactions by carcinogenic metal compounds with DNA repair processes: toxicological implications. *Toxicol Lett*, 127: 47–54. doi:10.1016/S0378-4274(01)00482-9 PMID:12052640
- Heinrich U (1992). Pulmonary carcinogenicity of cadmium by inhalation in animals. *IARC Sci Publ*, 118: 405–413. PMID:1303968
- Heinrich U, Peters L, Ernst H *et al.* (1989). Investigation on the carcinogenic effects of various cadmium compounds after inhalation exposure in hamsters and mice. *Exp Pathol*, 37: 253–258. PMID:2637164
- Herron N (2001). Cadmium Compounds. In: Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., Vol. 4. New York: John Wiley & Sons, pp. 507–523. [online edition]

- Holden H (1980). Further mortality studies on workers exposed to cadmium fume. In: Proceedings of the Seminar on Occupational Exposure to Cadmium, London, 20 March 1980. London: Cadmium Association, pp. 23–24.
- Horiguchi H, Oguma E, Sasaki S *et al.* (2004). Dietary exposure to cadmium at close to the current provisional tolerable weekly intake does not affect renal function among female Japanese farmers. *Environ Res*, 95: 20–31. doi:10.1016/S0013-9351(03)00142-7 PMID:15068927
- Hu J, Mao Y, White K (2002). Renal cell carcinoma and occupational exposure to chemicals in Canada. *Occup Med (Lond)*, 52: 157–164. doi:10.1093/occmed/52.3.157 PMID:12063361
- IARC (1973). Some inorganic and organometallic compounds. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risk Chem Man, 2: 1–181.
- IARC (1976). Cadmium, nickel, some epoxides, miscellaneous industrial chemicals and general considerations on volatile anaesthetics. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risk Chem Man*, 11: 1–306. PMID:992654
- IARC (1987). Overall evaluations of carcinogenicity: an updating of IARC Monographs volumes 1 to 42. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum Suppl, 7: 1–440. PMID:3482203
- IARC (1993a). Beryllium, cadmium, mercury, and exposures in the glass manufacturing industry. Working Group views and expert opinions, Lyon, 9–16 February 1993. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum*, 58: 1–415. PMID:8022054
- IARC (1993b). Cadmium and cadmium compounds. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum, 58: 119–237. PMID:8022055
- International Cadmium Association (2011). Available at http://www.cadmium.org
- Järup L, Bellander T, Hogstedt C, Spång G (1998). Mortality and cancer incidence in Swedish battery workers exposed to cadmium and nickel. Occup Environ Med, 55: 755–759. doi:10.1136/oem.55.11.755 PMID:9924452
- Jin YH, Clark AB, Slebos RJ *et al.* (2003). Cadmium is a mutagen that acts by inhibiting mismatch repair. *Nat Genet*, 34: 326–329. doi:10.1038/ng1172 PMID:12796780
- Jones SR, Atkin P, Holroyd C et al. (2007). Lung cancer mortality at a UK tin smelter. Occup Med (Lond), 57: 238–245. doi:10.1093/occmed/kql153 PMID:17437956
- Kasuya M, Aoshima K, Katoh T et al. (1992). Natural history of Itai-itai disease: A long-term observation on the clinical and laboratory findings in patients with Itai-itai disease. Edited Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Cadmium Conference, New Orleans,LA, 6–8 April 1992. Cook ME, Hiscock SA, Morrow H et al., editors. London/Reston, VA: Cadmium Association/Cadmium Council, pp. 180–192
- Kazantzis G, Blanks RG (1992). A mortality study of cadmium exposed workers. In: Proceedings of the SeventhInternational Cadmium Conference, New

- *Orleans, LA,6–8 April 1992.* Cook ME, Hiscock SA, Morrow H *et al.*, editors. London/Reston, VA: Cadmium Association/Cadmium Council, pp. 150–157.
- Kellen E, Zeegers MP, Hond ED, Buntinx F (2007). Blood cadmium may be associated with bladder carcinogenesis: the Belgian case-control study on bladder cancer. *Cancer Detect Prev*, 31: 77–82. doi:10.1016/j. cdp.2006.12.001 PMID:17296271
- Kipling MD & Waterhouse JAH (1967). Cadmium and prostatic carcinoma. *Lancet*, 289: 730–731. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(67)92222-2
- Kjellström T, Friberg L, Rahnster B (1979). Mortality and cancer morbidity among cadmium-exposed workers. *Environ Health Perspect*, 28: 199–204. PMID:488034
- Kjellström T & Nordberg GF (1978). A kinetic model of cadmium metabolism in the human being. *Environ Res*, 16: 248–269. doi:10.1016/0013-9351(78)90160-3 PMID:679914
- Kriegel AM, Soliman AS, Zhang Q et al. (2006). Serum cadmium levels in pancreatic cancer patients from the East Nile Delta region of Egypt. Environ Health Perspect, 114: 113–119. PMID:16393667
- Lemen RA, Lee JS, Wagoner JK, Blejer HP (1976). Cancer mortality among cadmium production workers. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 271: 1 Neoplasia in273–279. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1976.tb23122.x PMID:1069514
- Martin P, Poggi MC, Chambard JC *et al.* (2006). Low dose cadmium poisoning results in sustained ERK phosphorylation and caspase activation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 350: 803–807. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.09.126 PMID:17027645
- McElroy JA, Shafer MM, Trentham-Dietz A *et al.* (2006). Cadmium exposure and breast cancer risk. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 98: 869–873. doi:10.1093/jnci/djj233 PMID:16788160
- Méplan C, Mann K, Hainaut P (1999). Cadmium induces conformational modifications of wild-type p53 and suppresses p53 response to DNA damage in cultured cells. *J Biol Chem*, 274: 31663–31670. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.44.31663 PMID:10531375
- Misra UK, Gawdi G, Akabani G, Pizzo SV (2002). Cadmium-induced DNA synthesis and cell proliferation in macrophages: the role of intracellular calcium and signal transduction mechanisms. *Cell Signal*, 14: 327–340. doi:10.1016/S0898-6568(01)00268-6 PMID:11858940
- Morgan H & Sherlock JC (1984). Cadmium intake and cadmium in the human kidney. *Food Addit Contam*, 1: 45–51. PMID:6537346
- Morrow H (2001). *Cadmium and Cadmium Alloys*. In: *Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., Vol. 4. New York: John Wiley & Sons, pp. 471–507. [online edition]
- National Resources Canada (2007). Canadian Minerals Yearbook.

- NTP (2005). *Report on Carcinogens*, 11<sup>th</sup> ed. Research Triangle Park, NC: US Department of Health and Human Services.
- Nawrot T, Plusquin M, Hogervorst J *et al.* (2006). Environmental exposure to cadmium and risk of cancer: a prospective population-based study. *Lancet Oncol*, 7: 119–126. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70545-9 PMID:16455475
- Nordberg GF, Nogawa K, Nordberg M *et al.*, (2007). *Cadmium*. In: *Handbook on toxicology of metals*. Nordberg FG, Fowler BA, Nordberg M, Frieberg LT, editors. Elsevier Science, pp. 446–486.
- Nordic Council of Ministers (2003). *Cadmium Review*. Report No. 1, Issue No. 4.
- Oberdörster G, Cherian MG (1992). *Cadmium and the Lung: Current Perspectives of Carcinogenicity*. London/Reston, VA: Cadmium Association/Cadmium Council, pp. 130–134.
- Ochi T, Takahashi K, Ohsawa M (1987). Indirect evidence for the induction of a prooxidant state by cadmium chloride in cultured mammalian cells and a possible mechanism for the induction. *Mutat Res*, 180: 257–266. PMID:3657823
- Pesch B, Haerting J, Ranft U *et al.* (2000). Occupational risk factors for renal cell carcinoma: agent-specific results from a case-control study in Germany. MURC Study Group. Multicenter urothelial and renal cancer study. *Int J Epidemiol*, 29: 1014–1024. doi:10.1093/ije/29.6.1014 PMID:11101542
- Platz EA, Helzlsouer KJ, Hoffman SC *et al.* (2002). Prediagnostic toenail cadmium and zinc and subsequent prostate cancer risk. *Prostate*, 52: 288–296. doi:10.1002/pros.10115 PMID:12210489
- Potts CL (1965). Cadmium proteinuria: the health of battery workers exposed to cadmium oxide dust. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 8: 55–61. PMID:14287870
- Potts RJ, Watkin RD, Hart BA (2003). Cadmium exposure down-regulates 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase expression in rat lung and alveolar epithelial cells. *Toxicology*, 184: 189–202. doi:10.1016/S0300-483X(02)00579-6 PMID:12499121
- Rusch GM, O'Grodnick JS, Rinehart WE (1986). Acute inhalation study in the rat of comparative uptake, distribution and excretion for different cadmium containing materials. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J*, 47: 754–763. PMID:3799475
- Sahmoun AE, Case LD, Jackson SA, Schwartz GG (2005). Cadmium and prostate cancer: a critical epidemiologic analysis. *Cancer Invest*, 23: 256–263. doi:10.1081/CNV-200055968 PMID:15945511
- Schäfer SG, Schwegler U, Schümann K (1990). Retention of cadmium in cadmium-naive normal and iron-deficient rats as well as in cadmium-induced iron-deficient animals. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*, 20: 71–81. doi:10.1016/0147-6513(90)90047-9 PMID:2226245

- Shigematsu I, Kitamaru S, Takeuchi J et al. (1982). A retrospective mortality study on cadmium-exposed populations in Japan. Edited Proceedings of the Third International Cadmium Conference, Miami, FL, 3–5 February 1981. Wilson D, Volpe RA, editors. London/New York: Cadmium Association/Cadmium Council, pp. 115–118.
- Shirai T, Iwasaki S, Masui T *et al.* (1993). Enhancing effect of cadmium on rat ventral prostate carcinogenesis induced by 3,2'-dimethyl-4-aminobiphenyl. *Jpn J Cancer Res*, 84: 1023–1030. PMID:7693634
- Siemiatycki J (1991). Risk Factors for Cancer in the Workplace. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Silva E, Lopez-Espinosa MJ, Molina-Molina JM *et al.* (2006). Lack of activity of cadmium in in vitro estrogenicity assays. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 216: 20–28. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2006.04.002 PMID:16716372
- Sorahan T (1987). Mortality from lung cancer among a cohort of nickel cadmium battery workers: 1946–84. *Br J Ind Med*, 44: 803–809. PMID:3689715
- Sorahan T (2009). Lung cancer mortality in arsenicexposed workers from a cadmium recovery plant. *Occup Med (Lond)*, 59: 264–266. doi:10.1093/occmed/ kqp046 PMID:19332705
- Sorahan T & Esmen NA (2004). Lung cancer mortality in UK nickel-cadmium battery workers, 1947–2000. Occup Environ Med, 61: 108–116. doi:10.1136/oem.2003.009282 PMID:14739376
- Sorahan T & Lancashire RJ (1997). Lung cancer mortality in a cohort of workers employed at a cadmium recovery plant in the United States: an analysis with detailed job histories. *Occup Environ Med*, 54: 194–201. doi:10.1136/oem.54.3.194 PMID:9155781
- Sorahan T, Lister A, Gilthorpe MS, Harrington JM (1995). Mortality of copper cadmium alloy workers with special reference to lung cancer and non-malignant diseases of the respiratory system, 1946–92. *Occup Environ Med*, 52: 804–812. doi:10.1136/oem.52.12.804 PMID:8563843
- Sorahan T & Waterhouse JA (1983). Mortality study of nickel-cadmium battery workers by the method of regression models in life tables. *Br J Ind Med*, 40: 293–300. PMID:6871118
- Stayner L, Smith R, Schnorr T *et al.* (1993). Lung cancer. *Ann Epidemiol*, 3: 114–116. doi:10.1016/1047-2797(93)90020-5 PMID:8287147
- Stohs SJ, Bagchi D, Hassoun E, Bagchi M (2001). Oxidative mechanisms in the toxicity of chromium and cadmium ions. *J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol*, 20: 77–88. PMID:11394715
- Sugiyama M (1994). Role of cellular antioxidants in metalinduced damage. *Cell Biol Toxicol*, 10: 1–22. doi:10.1007/ BF00757183 PMID:8076219
- Takenaka S, Oldiges H, König H *et al.* (1983). Carcinogenicity of cadmium chloride aerosols in W rats. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 70: 367–373. PMID:6571943

- Takiguchi M, Achanzar WE, Qu W et al. (2003). Effects of cadmium on DNA-(Cytosine-5) methyltransferase activity and DNA methylation status during cadmium-induced cellular transformation. Exp Cell Res, 286: 355–365. doi:10.1016/S0014-4827(03)00062-4 PMID:12749863
- Thun MJ, Schnorr TM, Smith AB *et al.* (1985). Mortality among a cohort of U.S. cadmium production workers–an update. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 74: 325–333. PMID:3856046
- UNEP (2008). *Interim Review of Scientific Information* on Cadmium. Geneva: United Nations Environment Program
- USGS (2008). Mineral Commodity Summaries, Cadmium. pp. 42–43.
- Valko M, Rhodes CJ, Moncol J *et al.* (2006). Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stress-induced cancer. *Chem Biol Interact*, 160: 1–40. doi:10.1016/j. cbi.2005.12.009 PMID:16430879
- Valverde M, Trejo C, Rojas E (2001). Is the capacity of lead acetate and cadmium chloride to induce genotoxic damage due to direct DNA-metal interaction? *Mutagenesis*, 16: 265–270. doi:10.1093/mutage/16.3.265 PMID:11320153
- Vinceti M, Venturelli M, Sighinolfi C *et al.* (2007). Case-control study of toenail cadmium and prostate cancer risk in Italy. *Sci Total Environ*, 373: 77–81. doi:10.1016/j. scitotenv.2006.11.005 PMID:17175009
- von Zglinicki T, Edwall C, Ostlund E *et al.* (1992). Very low cadmium concentrations stimulate DNA synthesis and cell growth. *J Cell Sci*, 103: 1073–1081. PMID:1487490
- Waalkes MP (2003). Cadmium carcinogenesis. *Mutat Res*, 533: 107–120. PMID:14643415
- Waalkes MP, Anver M, Diwan BA (1999a). Carcinogenic effects of cadmium in the noble (NBL/Cr) rat: induction of pituitary, testicular, and injection site tumors and intraepithelial proliferative lesions of the dorsolateral prostate. *Toxicol Sci*, 52: 154–161. doi:10.1093/toxsci/52.2.154 PMID:10630567
- Waalkes MP, Anver MR, Diwan BA (1999b). Chronic toxic and carcinogenic effects of oral cadmium in the Noble (NBL/Cr) rat: induction of neoplastic and proliferative lesions of the adrenal, kidney, prostate, and testes. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*, 58: 199–214. doi:10.1080/009841099157296 PMID:10591488
- Waalkes MP, Diwan BA, Weghorst CM *et al.* (1991a). Anticarcinogenic effects of cadmium in B6C3F1 mouse liver and lung. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 110: 327–335. doi:10.1016/S0041-008X(05)80015-8 PMID:1891777
- Waalkes MP & Goering PL (1990). Metallothionein and other cadmium-binding proteins: recent developments. *Chem Res Toxicol*, 3: 281–288. doi:10.1021/tx00016a001 PMID:2133072
- Waalkes MP, Kovatch R, Rehm S (1991b). Effect of chronic dietary zinc deficiency on cadmium toxicity and carcinogenesis in the male Wistar [Hsd: (WI)

- BR] rat. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 108: 448–456. doi:10.1016/0041-008X(91)90091-R PMID:2020969
- Waalkes MP & Rehm S (1992). Carcinogenicity of oral cadmium in the male Wistar (WF/NCr) rat: effect of chronic dietary zinc deficiency. Fundam Appl Toxicol, 19: 512–520. doi:10.1016/0272-0590(92)90089-Z PMID:1426709
- Waalkes MP & Rehm S (1994). Chronic toxic and carcinogenic effects of cadmium chloride in male DBA/2NCr and NFS/NCr mice: strain-dependent association with tumors of the hematopoietic system, injection site, liver, and lung. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*, 23: 21–31. doi:10.1006/faat.1994.1074 PMID:7958559
- Waalkes MP & Rehm S (1998). Lack of carcinogenicity of cadmium chloride in female Syrian hamsters. *Toxicology*, 126: 173–178. doi:10.1016/S0300-483X(98)00012-2 PMID:9674965
- Waalkes MP, Rehm S, Cherian MG (2000). Repeated cadmium exposures enhance the malignant progression of ensuing tumors in rats. *Toxicol Sci*, 54: 110–120. doi:10.1093/toxsci/54.1.110 PMID:10746938
- Waalkes MP, Rehm S, Devor DE (1997). The effects of continuous testosterone exposure on spontaneous and cadmium-induced tumors in the male Fischer (F344/NCr) rat: loss of testicular response. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 142: 40–46. doi:10.1006/taap.1996.8005 PMID:9007032
- Waalkes MP, Rehm S, Riggs CW *et al.* (1988). Cadmium carcinogenesis in male Wistar [Crl:(WI)BR] rats: doseresponse analysis of tumor induction in the prostate and testes and at the injection site. *Cancer Res*, 48: 4656–4663. PMID:3396014
- Waalkes MP, Rehm S, Riggs CW *et al.* (1989). Cadmium carcinogenesis in male Wistar [Crl:(WI)BR] rats: doseresponse analysis of effects of zinc on tumor induction in the prostate, in the testes, and at the injection site. *Cancer Res*, 49: 4282–4288. PMID:2743314
- Waalkes MP, Rehm S, Sass B *et al.* (1991c). Chronic carcinogenic and toxic effects of a single subcutaneous dose of cadmium in the male Fischer rat. *Environ Res*, 55: 40–50. doi:10.1016/S0013-9351(05)80139-2 PMID:1855489
- Waisberg M, Joseph P, Hale B, Beyersmann D (2003). Molecular and cellular mechanisms of cadmium carcinogenesis. *Toxicology*, 192: 95–117. doi:10.1016/S0300-483X(03)00305-6 PMID:14580780
- Wang X, Yang Y, Wang X, Xu S (2006). The effect of occupational exposure to metals on the nervous system function in welders. *J Occup Health*, 48: 100–106. doi:10.1539/joh.48.100 PMID:16612038
- WHO (2000). Air Quality Guidelines, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.
- WHO (2011). Cadmium. Food Additives Series, 24. Geneva. Available at: http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v024je09.htm
- Yassin AS & Martonik JF (2004). Urinary cadmium levels in the US working population, 1988–1994. *J Occup Environ*

- *Hyg*, 1: 324–333. doi:10.1080/15459620490445499 PMID:15238341
- Youn CK, Kim SH, Lee DY *et al.* (2005). Cadmium down-regulates human OGG1 through suppression of Sp1 activity. *J Biol Chem*, 280: 25185–25195. doi:10.1074/jbc.M412793200 PMID:15760895
- Zhang G, Lindars E, Chao Z *et al.* (2002). Biological monitoring of cadmium exposed workers in a nickel-cadmium battery factory in China. *J Occup Health*, 44: 15–21. doi:10.1539/joh.44.15
- Zhang Z-W, Shimbo S, Watanabe T *et al.* (1999). Non-occupational lead and cadmium exposure of adult women in Bangkok, Thailand. *Sci Total Environ*, 226: 65–74. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00370-2 PMID:10077875
- Zhou T, Jia X, Chapin RE *et al.* (2004). Cadmium at a nontoxic dose alters gene expression in mouse testes. *Toxicol Lett*, 154: 191–200. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2004.07.015 PMID:15501611